



Top stories in this newsletter



From the President



Meet the Candidates



Spring Institute
Keynote Speaker



Purvi Patel's CCPA
Litigation



Privacy in 2021

From the President



Another wonderful Institute has come and gone. Like most things during this year of COVID, it was a different experience than years past. However, the 49th Annual SCALL Institute on Data Privacy in the Age of the Cloud will be remembered for many reasons...

[continued on page 2](#)

Meet the Candidates: 2021 Executive Board Elections



Caitlin Hunter—Vice President / President-Elect

Sangeeta Pal—Treasurer

Tanya Livshits—Member-at-Large

[continued on page 3](#)

Spring Institute Keynote Speaker: Dr. Asaf Lubin



The SCALL Institute warmly welcomed keynote speaker Professor Dr. Asaf Lubin, who was introduced by Amber Madole, Loyola Law School Reference Librarian and SCALL Institute Committee member. Professor Lubin is an Associate Professor of Law at Indiana University Maurer School of Law and a Fellow at IU's Center for Applied Cybersecurity Research...

[continued on page 4](#)

Purvi Patel's CCPA Litigation and Enforcement Update



As a new member of SCALL with an interest in data privacy issues, Purvi Patel's discussion of the California Consumer Privacy Rights Act of 2018 (CCPA) Litigation and Enforcement trends impressed me. This informative discussion given at the 2021 SCALL Institute also included implications of The California Privacy Rights Act (CPRA) of 2020, which will supplant CCPA as it goes into effect in 2023...

[continued on page 5](#)

Privacy in 2021 and Beyond



A slew of virtual conferences this year have focused much of their programming on data privacy and security, including the ABA Tech Show, Legalweek(Year), and our own 2021 SCALL Institute. The focus on this year's slate of legal technology conferences has switched to the impending liability doom and costly fines for data breaches in face of new laws protecting consumer data across the world...

[continued on page 7](#)

Contents

From the President	2	Membership News	9
Meet the Candidates: 2021 Executive Board Elections	3	Career Opportunities	10
Spring Institute Keynote Speaker: Dr. Asaf	4	Treasurer's Report	11
Purvi Patel's CCPA Litigation	5	Executive Board Meeting Minutes	12
Privacy in 2021 and Beyond	7		



From the President

*By Margaret Hall
Associate Dean and Law Library Director/
Associate Professor of Law
Southwestern Law School*

Another wonderful Institute has come and gone. Like most things during this year of COVID, it was a different experience than years past. However, the 49th Annual SCALL Institute on Data Privacy in the Age of the Cloud will be remembered for many reasons. Patrick Sullivan and his amazing committee not only rose to the challenge, but they crushed it. The speakers' committee seized this virtual opportunity to search far and wide for guest lecturers. They secured Dr. Asaf Lubin, from Indiana University Maurer School of Law, as keynote speaker. We also had practicing attorneys who took time out of their day to discuss practical applications of data privacy. While we may have been disappointed by not seeing each other in-person, Patrick made the happy hour a genuinely fun and social time for everyone with his conversation prompts! Overall the Institute did an amazing time doing what the Institute does best: it provided an opportunity to learn and network with our fellow librarians. I was lucky enough to work closely with multiple people during this Institute and I want to point out a few. These connections make SCALL shine!

First, a huge thank you to Patrick Sullivan. I am so grateful he took on this challenge and handled it with such determination and dare I say, spunk! He has a get up and go attitude. We met (virtually) multiple times while planning the Institute, and it was clear he had far more balls to juggle than I did last year.

Patrick did everything from putting the committees together, to figuring out how to highlight the vendors, to mailing the loot boxes. FYI, if you have a need for green shredded paper, I'm sure he can help.



Next, I want to give a special shout out to Jennifer Berman. Jennifer is an amazing one woman dream team when it comes to finding sponsors. This took on a whole new meaning this year. In a normal year, sponsors provide the food, drinks, and other elements of the in-person experience. A virtual Institute begged the question of how sponsors could engage SCALL members. Well, almost everything in the loot box from the sponsors is due to the hard work of Jennifer. She not only spent oodles of time to secure the sponsors, but she did the lion's share of ordering, hauling, and mailing the swag. If you loved something in the loot box, it's a fair bet that Jennifer picked it out. She is a perfect example of a member who goes over and above for SCALL.

Finally, I want to thank Robin Bytheway for her great help during the Institute. She

and I were in constant communication behind the scenes as Zoom pilots to ensure the right people were co-hosts and able to share screens, virtual raised hands were seen and acknowledged, and programs started on time. Essentially, Robin saw to it that everything flowed smoothly. I know Patrick can give more examples of the crucial help she gave as speaker chair, but for me, on the day of, she was indispensable.

I could prattle on and speak to something wonderful about every person who worked on the Institute. Everyone on the 49th Annual Institute Committee played a vital role to make the Institute a success. This is what being an active member of SCALL is about. Each committee member can contribute in their own way and (hopefully) no one person is overwhelmed. The Institute Committee presents a fun and rewarding experience. I am grateful for the time I have spent on two Institutes. If you are lucky enough to be nominated to the Vice President role, do not fear the work the institute entails. I guarantee you, there are many SCALL members to help you along the way. Patrick and his whole committee made amazing lemonade out of some COVID lemons! Still, I look forward to helping with Institutes when we are back together.

We are still planning on holding future Institutes in-person. If you have any suggestions or requests, please contact Patrick or myself. We both look forward to helping the next Vice-President put on a phenomenal 50th Annual Institute. We are not only planning on being in-person, but it is a SCALL milestone. I expect an amazing experience and welcome any recommendations or ideas from my fellow SCALL members to make sure it is!



LEADING WITH WISDOM & INSIGHT

AALL 2021

JULY 19-23, 2021



Meet the Candidates: 2021 Executive Board Elections



Caitlin Hunter—Vice President / President-Elect

Caitlin Hunter is a reference librarian at UCLA School of Law. She received her J.D. from UC Davis School of Law and her M.L.I.S. from the University of Denver. Prior to joining UCLA School of Law, she worked as a reference librarian at Loyola Law School, Los Angeles.

I am honored to be nominated for SCALL Vice President/Incoming President. Throughout my time in Los Angeles, I have been continually impressed by and grateful for SCALL's enthusiastic and welcoming community. My co-workers encouraged and invited me to become involved with SCALL early on and I have served as a SCALL Grants Committee member, the SCALL Institute Registration Committee co-chair, and as the SCALL Treasurer. As SCALL Vice President/Incoming President, my goal would be to welcome new members (and encourage involvement by longtime members!), in the same way longtime members have welcomed me. SCALL is fortunate to have so many enthusiastic and committed members who keep things running smoothly year after year. However, I've also heard from members who feel burned out by long terms as committee chairs and from newer members who want to get involved but aren't sure how. I would like to put in place a consistent, widely promoted process to volunteer for committees, as well as processes for passing on

documentation to future committees, including updating SCALL's policies and procedures manual. If elected, I would be delighted to strengthen SCALL and keep it running smoothly for the benefit of current and future members.

Sangeeta Pal—Treasurer

Sangeeta Pal is the Access Services Librarian at the UCLA Law Library. She has been working at UCLA for more than twenty years first as a Library Assistant and later as a Project Manager at the Law Library. She graduated with a Bachelor's degree in Political Science and a Master's degree in Library and Information Studies, both from UCLA. Her professional interests include improving information access and collaboration within the Law School Community and outreach/engagement efforts to law students. Sangeeta has been a member of SCALL for eight years. She currently is the co-chair of the Inner City Youth Internship Program Committee.

I am honored to be nominated for SCALL treasurer and to serve on the SCALL Executive Board. SCALL made a significant impact early in my career and I continue to benefit through the annual programs and most importantly through the colleagues I have met and gotten to know through this organization. When a call came out for open committee positions, I was eager to volunteer and get more involved. I first served on the Inner City Youth Internship Program Committee and am now the co-chair for this committee. I am grateful for this opportunity to use my skills to serve the SCALL community and to become more involved as a part of the Executive Board.



Tanya Livshits—Member-at-Large

I am excited to serve on the SCALL Executive Board. I am currently the Research Manager for the Southwest Region at Holland & Knight LLP. Prior to my position at Holland & Knight, I was the Director of Research Services at Irell and Manella LLP. I also previously worked in the public and an academic law library settings at San Diego Law Library and University of Washington Gallagher Law Library, respectively. I received a BA in Political Science and Russian Studies from UCLA in 2006, a JD from University of San Diego School of Law in 2009 and an MLIS from the University of Washington's Law Librarianship program in 2014.

I joined SCALL when I moved to Los Angeles for work. In SCALL, I found a group of colleagues, mentors and friends. I immediately wanted to be more involved and joined the Programs Committee as Co-Chair, a position I've held for over two years and greatly enjoyed. I look forward to increasing my involvement with this amazing organization by joining the Executive Board.



Spring Institute Keynote Speaker: Dr. Asaf Lubin on “The End of Privacy: Regulating Covert Intelligence in the Age of Surveillance Capitalism”

By Sherry L. Leysen
Hugh & Hazel Darling Law Library Director
Chapman University Fowler School of Law

The SCALL Institute warmly welcomed keynote speaker Professor Dr. Asaf Lubin, who was introduced by Amber Madole, Loyola Law School Reference Librarian and SCALL Institute Committee member. Professor Lubin is an Associate Professor of Law at Indiana University Maurer School of Law and a Fellow at IU's Center for Applied Cybersecurity Research. He also is an affiliated fellow at Yale Law School's Information Society Project, a Faculty Associate at the Berkman Klein Center for Internet and Society at Harvard University, and a Visiting Scholar at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem Federmann Cyber Security Research Center. His research focuses on law and technology, cybersecurity, and how these trends impact our current legal institutions.

His keynote focused on covert intelligence around the theme: how should intelligence regulation be treated as a matter of international law?

Two Stories

He began with two stories, the first of which concerned the SolarWinds hack. SolarWinds produces Orion, a network management and monitoring system software. Described as a supply chain attack by an Advanced Persistent Actor, Professor Lubin explained that new malicious code was embedded into Orion updates, resulting in potentially thousands of vulnerable targets. The

incident brought a question to the forefront: how do international law and politics deal with these sorts of cyber operations that target our supply chains, result in massive potential economic consequences, and lead to cascading effects across businesses and networks?

The second story concerned a series of cases from the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU), *Schrems I* and *II*. Max Schrems, an Austrian activist, launched campaigns against Facebook contesting the transfer of Europeans' data from the European economic area into Facebook US, where that data is accessible to the NSA. In both cases, a set of frameworks emerged: the EU/US Safe Harbor Principles and the EU/US Privacy Shield, both of which were deemed insufficient to protect Europeans' data. Even Standard Contractual Clauses were considered problematic as they placed a burden on companies to investigate themselves. He explained that in this context, Europe is assessing US intelligence frameworks as the sole basis to determine whether traditional business practices around data processing and collection can be authorized and approved.

Passion for Topic

Having studied this topic for years (it is the subject of his doctoral dissertation, *The International Law of Intelligence: The World of Spycraft and the Law of Nations* and his recent article, *The Liberty to Spy*), he shared that his interest originated while serving as an Intelligence Analyst in the Israeli intelligence community. He recalled asking his commander about espionage's ethics and was told there is nothing ethical about spying; spying is antithetical to ethics. Later in law school, he was surprised that his class on public international law did not cover the law of espionage, and asked why. The question seemed puzzling to his instructors, as international law is about the peaceful resolution of conflicts; espionage is antithetical to international law. Thinking about the ethics of intelligence led Professor Lubin to explore this topic further.

Working Definition

He indicated there is no universal definition of intelligence operations or



Dr. Asaf Lubin, Associate Professor of Law
Indiana University Maurer School of Law.

espionage. For his keynote, he offered one working definition: a peacetime operation, involving the intelligence cycle (focusing on passive collection), involving states against other states, and including some degree of secrecy and confidentiality (both the needs behind the operation and the specific methods of the collection). He also summarized traditional intelligence gathering techniques or “ints” covered within his definition: human Intelligence (HUMINT), signals (SIGINT), imagery (IMINT), and open-source (OSINT).

Old School and New School

Posing a question (what does international law say today about the legality of the operation?), he summarized the old school (absolutist theories) and new school approaches (relativist theories) to espionage in international law. Under the absolutist theories, he referenced three camps and gave examples of protagonists from each: the prohibitionists (spying always illegal; e.g., Kant); permissivists (spying is always legal; e.g., Hobbes); and the extralegalists (spying is neither legal nor illegal, e.g., Radsan). Professor Lubin believes that most of the scholarship today has moved away from the old school approach toward the “piecemeal,” where spying is sometimes legal and sometimes illegal. The objective is to find the line of legality, but what are the lines of legality of espionage in international law? He reviewed scholarship on the piecemeal approach and, with examples (such as the “the Cyber Headache”), discussed its fault lines.

continued on page 5



continued from page 4 (Keynote Speaker)

Just Intelligence

Concluding his remarks with the discussion of an alternative model, “just intelligence,” he referenced the “just war theory,” where the ethics of warfare is discussed in three temporal stages: before, during, and after war. Similarly, those studying intelligence have suggested a similar ethics model where intelligence also can be regulated at the temporal stages of an intelligence operation. He noted that the research in this area has traditionally been the work of moral philosophers and ethicists. Thus, his work over the last five years has focused on examining what such a framework would look like for espionage regulation as a matter of law along the three stages (jus ad, jus in, and jus post).

Professor Lubin discussed discourse around a sovereign right to spy in peacetime under certain circumstances and shared why it is important to argue that states have a sovereign right to spy. He explained that when such a right is recognized, we can begin to draw the limiting lines around it because sovereign rights can be abused (some examples included the privatization of inherently governmental activity and a spying operation that used a fake vaccination campaign).

Professor Lubin’s keynote raised critical questions about the ethics of espionage and the crucial role international law can play in regulating its use.

Purvi Patel’s CCPA Litigation and Enforcement Update

By Spencer Gales


USC MMLIS student, Intern with LA Law Library

As a new member of SCALL with an interest in data privacy issues, Purvi Patel’s discussion of the California Consumer Privacy Rights Act of 2018 (CCPA) Litigation and Enforcement trends impressed me. This informative discussion given at the 2021 SCALL Institute also included implications of The California Privacy Rights Act (CPRA) of 2020, which will supplant CCPA as it goes into effect in 2023.

Patel, a partner at Morrison and Forester, is an expert on privacy and data security. Her practice includes consumer litigation, class action, privacy and data security fields, and compliance with established and emerging private privacy laws and regulations. As the firm’s expert regarding California’s Song Beverly Credit Card Act and analog statutes in effect in other states, Patel is also at the forefront of the CCPA.

Interestingly, the genesis of the CCPA was a bay area real estate developer named Alastair Mactaggart who learned about the scope of consumer information collected by Google while at a dinner party. Mactaggart believed Californians should clearly understand what information businesses collect and store about them. Because the law had not kept up with web-based business and technology, consumers lacked understanding and control over what was being collected. With this problem in mind, Mactaggart and neighborhood contacts with relevant experience in legislative analysis and privacy, but not drafting legislation, drafted the CPPA. Because of the rushed schedule to get it on the ballot, the CCPA has problems like internal inconsistencies that have led to revisions and ultimately, the CPRA. Described on the ballot

continued on page 6





trellis

State Trial Court Research and Analytics

Start Your Free Trial

continued from page 5 (Purvi Patel)

as a law to give consumers more knowledge and control over their personal information, the CPRA is a high-level evolution of the CCPA, creating five “core consumer rights.” These include rights of knowledge, to access, to deletion, a right to opt out of the sale of information, and freedom from discrimination. The inclusion of consumers’ right to legal action has required litigators like Patel to study this new statute and explore the limits of these actions.

According to Patel, there have been over sixty cases filed since January 1, 2020 asserting violations of the CCPA, and twice as many cases referencing the CCPA. While the majority of these are California cases, there have been cases in New York and Florida. About forty-two cases are relatively straightforward claims involving data breach. Additionally, roughly thirteen claims involve what Patel calls “broader cases” involving other CCPA provisions, and thirty-nine cases originally alleging derivative claims.

Patel provided an overview of litigation trends, such as plaintiffs’ lawyers sending CCPA demands for compensation to companies that disclose CCPA breaches as a matter of course. Alternatively, plaintiffs are asserting CCPA claims before sending notice and cure letters (or receiving a response) and amending to seek statutory

damages after the 30-day period expires. One result of these cases has been further clarification of what the scope of the private right of action should be, as plaintiffs continue to test the boundaries of what claims should and can be alleged. As this occurs, plaintiffs are testing the theory that sharing personal information with third parties can constitute a failure of reasonable security.

With the context of these litigation trends established, Patel discussed CCPA enforcement. The California Attorney General has sole authority to enforce the substantive provisions of the CCPA outside of the private right of action discussed, and AG enforcement letters started going out in July 2020. No AG-led actions have yet been filed; companies have only been notified of CCPA violations and threatened with enforcement actions. According to Patel, these letters have focused on more obvious things that companies may have been missing, and Deputy AG Stacey Schesser has said the current focus is on “right to opt out of sale of personal information” and a “do-not-sell” link that’s clearly and conspicuously posted on the home page.”

Following a discussion of CCPA trends, Patel looked to the future with a high-level overview of the CPRA. This overview focused on new rights and new or modified exceptions and requirements that the CPRA will bring. New rights include a right to

limit use and disclosure of sensitive personal information, the right to opt out of sharing personal information, and the right to correct personal information. Additional requirements include the obligation to implement security practices and procedures.

Exceptions that have been added or adjusted involve small businesses and de-identified data, publicly available data, and physical items. The CPRA private right of action is largely the same, with account credentials added to the subset of personal information to which it applies following a data breach.

This informative presentation concluded with a look at the significant changes in enforcement that the CPRA will bring by establishing the California Privacy Protection Agency. Besides investigating potential violations of the CPRA and bringing enforcement actions under the CPRA, the Agency will also take over for the AG in issuing regulations. Some enforcement limitations that the CPRA will bring were also discussed, as the AG and California Privacy Protection Agency efforts are divided. Following this look at the future of both the CCPA and the CPRA, Patel posed fascinating open questions about implications and impacts of this legislation before taking questions and concluding the discussion.

Ultimately, this program was as thought provoking as it was informative. As a new law librarian, it caused me to consider the impacts of legislation like the CPRA both in the library and for me personally as a consumer. This was one among many terrific programs at my first SCALL institute, a great introduction to SCALL, the people involved, and the value of membership.

SCALL Executive Board Election!

MAY 3 THROUGH 28
DON'T FORGET TO CAST YOUR VOTE!





Privacy in 2021 and Beyond

*By Jimmy Pak
Research Law Librarian for Technology Services
University of California, Irvine School of Law*

A slew of virtual conferences this year have focused much of their programming on data privacy and security, including the ABA Tech Show, Legalweek(Year), and our own 2021 SCALL Institute. The focus on this year's slate of legal technology conferences has switched to the impending liability doom and costly fines for data breaches in face of new laws protecting consumer data across the world. As law librarians dealing with California's own data unique consumer data privacy laws, understanding how California's law interacts with national or international legislation will become the most pressing legal research issue in

academic and private practice.

One highlight from the 2021 SCALL Institute was the informative presentation by Diana Iketani Iorlano. Iorlano's presentation not only provided a comprehensive overview of consumer data privacy protection laws in place locally, nationally, and internationally, but also gave real world applications of how we can be proactive with our own data security. Privacy laws like California's Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) and the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) provide a complicated (and sometimes conflicting) network of privacy data laws. This complexity will only grow as more states and countries are now entering the fray with their own consumer data protection laws. For example, Brazil enacted their own data protection law which will affect how companies collect and process personal information.

Iorlano notes that the coronavirus pandemic delayed enforcement of many data privacy laws, but corporations have preemptively tried to comply with these laws and take them seriously. This is evident with websites prompting you with updated privacy disclaimers. California led the way as the first state to pass their own data privacy law by enacting the California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018 with subsequent amendments and expansions through the California Privacy Rights Act of 2020. Virginia became the second state to pass such data privacy legislation recently in March 2021. As businesses rarely limit their data collection practices to one state, businesses will likely develop consistent practices to address all consumer data protection laws.

Iorlano not only provided a high level review of current and future data security and privacy legislation, but Iorlano also

continued on page 8



RETRIEV-IT
an information retrieval company
www.retrievit.com

Fast and Reliable Document Delivery

- ➡ Journal Articles
- ➡ Newspaper Articles
- ➡ Conference Proceedings/Abstracts
- ➡ Book Chapters
- ➡ Interlibrary Loans
- ➡ Dictionary Terms

Specializing in various fields:

MEDICAL | ENGINEERING | BUSINESS | LEGAL

Fast, same day service, sometimes within minutes

Forward your citations to my email or submit requests on our website

Steve Wasserman
(310) 850-0022
steve@retrievit.com




A law has passed... what happens next?

ProQuest Regulatory Insight offers researchers unique insight into regulations made by executive departments and agencies to implement Public Laws and Executive Orders. Regulatory Insight content and functionality enable discovery and analysis related to historic and current regulations and guidance, and help students grasp the regulatory process. ProQuest provides researchers with workflow tools to facilitate research tasks associated with administrative law.

As a companion to Legislative Insight, Regulatory Insight offers regulatory histories compiled by our editorial staff. These regulatory histories help answer the question "a law has passed... what happens next?"

[Learn more about Regulatory Insight – Guidance and Policy](#)

[Learn more about Regulatory Insight – Historic Rules & Guidance](#)

Ask me about our
 special offers!



continued from page 7 (Privacy in 2021)

mentioned resources used by privacy practitioners that provide data privacy research. As the sale of consumer data has become a leading source of profit for businesses and with state, national, and international actors trying to protect consumer data, conflicts between data privacy laws are inevitable. This conflict will ensure that law librarians will be busy for the foreseeable future researching data privacy issues and conflicts. Here are just a few resources Iorlano mentioned in her presentation:

- International Association of Privacy Professionals (IAPP). The IAPP is not just an affiliation of lawyers but include other privacy professionals in both private and corporate practice. The IAPP resources contain 50 state surveys of data breach laws, legislative tool maps, vendor lists, white papers, and infographs. IAPP also provides several privacy related certifications. <https://iapp.org/>

- Law firm blogs also provide 50 state data breach surveys and general information on data privacy and security. For example, Perkins Coie's Privacy & Security practice "maintains" a 50 state survey of state laws regarding security breach notifications: <https://www.perkinscoie.com/en/news-insights/security-breach-notification-chart.html>. Entries provide code provisions, legislative history, and a

summary of obligations required by businesses regarding data breach notifications, privacy, and security. *Note, some of these resources may be out of date. Last updated in June 2020.

- The National Conference of State Legislatures also maintains a 50 state survey of breach notification requirements of information involving personally identifiable information last updated as of July 2020. <https://www.ncsl.org/research/telecommunications-and-information-technology/security-breach-notification-laws.aspx>
- The Rutter Group recently released a privacy law treatise for California practice that is regularly updated. Another California specific resource includes the Continuing Education of the Bar (CEB) Privacy Compliance and Litigation in California Guide which covers sources of privacy, information security, internet and electronic privacy, financial and health information privacy, and international and California specific practice pointers.



Diana Iketani Iorlano – Ikentai Law. Iorlano counsels clients on compliance with the GDPR, CCPA, and the upcoming CPRA.

continued on page 9



continued from page 8 (Privacy in 2021)

The not so subtle shift of advancements and automation to legal technology to more common sense data security and privacy issues is evident from the programming shift of every legal conference I attended this past year. Data privacy remained a worldwide concern with the number of high profile hacks and data breaches even during a worldwide pandemic. As more programming highlights the threats to data privacy, law librarians should prepare for complicated comparative legal research in competing data privacy laws enacted locally, nationally, and internationally. The 2021 SCALL Institute was a step in the right direction but will not be the last.

Congratulations Spencer Gales!

RECIPIENT OF THE 2021 SCALL INSTITUTE GRANT



**2021 AALL Annual Meeting Grant
Applications
Due May 7, 2021**

Email [applications](mailto:mflyntz@law.uci.edu) to
mflyntz@law.uci.edu

Membership News

By Judy K. Davis and Karen Skinner

Cara Johnson of O'Melveny & Myers LLP welcomed Dean Jude Velazquez to her family. Congratulations, Cara!

Welcome, new student members!

Spencer Gales is a student at USC.

Michael Van Aken is a student at San Jose State University.



Serena Rodholm and fiancée, Jason Thomas.

Rebecca Waldorf is a student at UCLA.

Serena Rodholm has accepted a part-time position at the **San Bernardino County Law Library**. She will transition to full-time once she graduates from the UCLA MLIS program this spring. And, on top of that good news, Serena got engaged! Congrats on all the great news, Serena!

Welcome, new members!

Bee Bornheimer is Digital Resources Librarian at the **University of San Diego**.

Nicholas Casarez is a new member.



Cara Johnson and family.

Gina Catalano is the Assistant Director User Experience at the **San Diego Law Library**.

Julianne Odin is Student Services & Technology Librarian at the **University of San Diego**.

Michael Rendon is a Library Assistant at **Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP**.

Renu Sagreiya is a Reference Librarian at **Western State College of Law**.

continued on page 10



continued from page 9 (Membership News)

Any corrections, changes, or additions to your membership information, as well as any announcements for Membership News, should be sent to:

Judy K. Davis
Co-Chair, SCALL Membership Committee
Law Librarian, Head of Access Services and
Adjunct Assistant Professor of Law
USC Gould School of Law
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0071
(213) 740-2189
jkdavis@law.usc.edu

Karen Skinner
Co-Chair, SCALL Membership Committee
Law Librarian, Research Services, and
Adjunct Assistant Professor of Law
USC Gould School of Law
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0071
(213) 740-2615
kskinner@law.usc.edu

Career Opportunities

Date Posted	Job Description	Location
April 16	Research Analyst; Morrison & Foerster	Any U.S. Office
April 14	Research Analyst; Blank Rome	Los Angeles or Other Cities
April 12	Research Analyst; Munger Tolles & Olson	Los Angeles
March 30	Research Analyst; Buchalter APC	Los Angeles
March 30	Office Technician; Cal. Dept. of Justice Law Library	Los Angeles
March 26	Reference Librarians; LMU Loyola Law School	Los Angeles
March 26	Fulfillment and Systems Coordinator; Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati	Los Angeles or Other Cities
March 17	Research Law Librarian; Legal Placements Inc.	Virtual
February 26	Research Manager; Sidley Austin	Los Angeles or Other Cities
February 24	Library & Knowledge Advisor; Partnership Employment	Los Angeles or San Diego
February 18	Virtual Researcher; LAC Group	Virtual
February 18	Temporary Research Specialist; LAC Group	Los Angeles or Other Cities
February 16	Director; LMU Loyola Law School Library	Los Angeles
January 22	Researcher; Beacon Hill Legal	Virtual
January 22	Director of Libraries; San Diego County Public Law Library	San Diego
January 11	Research Specialist; Jackson Lewis	Flexible; Including Jackson Lewis California Offices
December 14	International Law Reference Librarian; LAC Group	Los Angeles
December 4	Research and Library Manager; LAC Group	Virtual; eventually Los Angeles; Orange County or San Diego
November 5	Librarian; Law Firm	Virtual; eventually option of West Los Angeles

By Don Buffaloe
 Chair, SCALL Placement Committee
donald.buffaloe@pepperdine.edu

View complete job descriptions at scallnet.org/career-opportunities/



Treasurer's Report

By Caitlin Hunter, Reference Librarian, UCLA School of Law

SCALL Balances

Bank Balance as of March 14, 2021	\$47,427.27
PayPal Balance as of March 14, 2021	\$182.01
Petty Cash Balance as of March 14, 2021	\$94.41
Total Balance as of March 14, 2021	\$47,703.69

Committee Income and Expenses since July 1, 2020

Committee	Budget	Income	Expenses	Budget Balance
Archives	\$100.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$100.00
Awards	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
Board	\$3,900.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,900.00
Government Relations	\$400.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$400.00
Grants	\$7,000.00	\$0.00	\$95.00	\$6,905.00
IT	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$17.99	\$982.01
Institute	\$12,000.00	\$6,824.00	\$2,669.64	\$16,154.36
Inner City Youth	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,000.00
Library School Liaison	\$5,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,000.00
Membership	\$1,400.00	\$2,842.00	\$204.03	\$4,037.97
Newsletter	\$300.00	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$800.00
Professional Development	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
Programs	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$390.00	\$2,610.00
PALI	\$150.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$150.00
Public Relations	\$450.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$450.00
Secretary	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$274.14	\$725.86
Treasurer	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$105.00	\$395.00
Totals	\$43,700.00	\$10,166.00	\$3,755.80	\$50,110.20

Submission Deadlines

The SCALL Newsletter team welcomes submission of any articles of interest to the law library community. Contact Christina Tsou, SCALL Newsletter Editor: ctsou@law.uci.edu

All submissions should be received by:

May/June 2021	May 3, 2021
Sept/Oct 2021	September 7, 2021
Nov/Dec 2021	November 15, 2021
Jan/Feb 2022	January 10, 2022
Mar/Apr 2022	March 14, 2022

Executive Board 2020-2021

President

Margaret F. Hall
Southwestern Law Library
(213) 738-6851
mhall@swlaw.edu

Vice-President / President-Elect

Patrick Sullivan
Jones Day
(213) 243-2530
psullivan@jonesday.com

Treasurer

Caitlin Hunter
UCLA
(310) 206-3793
treasurer@scallnet.org

Secretary

Daisy De Anda
Sheppard Mullin
(213) 617-5429
ddeanda@sheppardmullin.com

Executive Board Members

Ryan Metheny
LA Law Library
(213) 785-2561
rmetheny@lalawlibrary.org

Tiffani Willis
Loyola Law School
Phone: (213) 736-1413
tiffani.willis@lls.edu

Immediate Past President

Erik Y. Adams
Sidley Austin LLP
(213) 896-6170
erik.adams@sidley.com



Executive Board Meeting Minutes

By Daisy De Anda

A virtual meeting of the SCALL Executive Board was held on January 21, 2021 via Zoom.

In attendance were:

President Margaret Hall
Vice President Patrick Sullivan
Treasurer Caitlin Hunter
Secretary Daisy De Anda
Past-President Erik Adams
Executive Board Member
Tiffani Willis & Ryan Metheny

1. A quorum being present, the meeting was called to order by President Margaret Hall at 4:05 pm.
2. The minutes from the regular board meeting November 10, 2020 were presented for review.
 - a. Margaret Hall moved to approve the minutes as amended and Tiffani Willis seconded. The motion carried.
3. Treasurer's Report
 - a. Treasurer Caitlin Hunter reported that SCALL has \$46,484.91 in the SCALL bank account, \$94.41 in Petty Cash and a PayPal balance of \$182.01, for a total of \$45,761.33.
 - b. Margaret Hall moved to approve the Treasurer's report and Patrick Sullivan seconded. There was no discussion and the motion carried.
4. Secretary's Report
 - a. There was no Secretary's report.
5. Vice President's Report
 - a. Vice President, Patrick Sullivan, reported that the SCALL Institute planning continues to progress in an orderly and positive manner. We currently have 68 registrations and 3 exhibitors attending. The Loot boxes

have shipped from the printer. All speakers have been confirmed. The PR committee continues to promote the Institute.

- b. Formal votes took place for 2 Institute proposals:
 - i. The Board votes to approve that in some case grant recipients volunteering for a significant time commitment at the Institute in lieu of writing a newsletter article.
 - ii. The Board votes to waive Institute cost for grant recipients vs. receiving payment and issuing reimbursement.
- c. All 7 Board members present voted to approve the proposals.
- d. Margaret Hall moved to approve the Vice President's report and Tiffani Willis seconded. The motion carried.
6. President's Report
 - a. Margaret Hall reported that the AALL Annual Meeting will be virtual for 2021. The Council of Chapter Presidents Quarterly Meeting took place and it focused on engagement. Excellence in Engagement Nominations was sent on January 20, 2021.
 - c. Patrick Sullivan moved to approve the President's report and Tiffani Willis seconded. The motion carried.
7. Committee Updates:
 - a. A discussion was held about the Call for committee volunteers.
 - b. Nominations committee update, Paul Moorman and Ramon Barajas are new members and Pauline Arana continues as Chair. Nominations are on target for 2021 election and the Nominations Committee has been in touch with Daisy De Anda.
 - c. Caitlin Hunter reported that for the Grants committee Matt Flyntz is now officially Chair. The other members

are Esther Eastman, Annie Mellot, and Amber Madole. Matt has begun advertising for grant applications. He says that because there won't be any travel or lodging expenses, we should be

able to award more grants than usual.

- d. Tiffani Willis extension of remarks on the PALI Committee is working on creating and scheduling a presentation webinar for non-law librarians in the Inland Empire requested by Riverside County Law Library and San Bernardino County Law Library. The PR committee is working closely with Patrick Sullivan to create emails and SCALL Newsletter notices regarding the upcoming SCALL Institute (including the Institute logo) and they intend to create additional notices to include speaker information, early bird registration, and additional reminders as the Institute draws closer.
- e. No Awards or Programs committee updates.
8. Old Business
 - a. Erik Adams reported the Inner City Youth Program has asked to roll over the money from last year's program to next year and Programs Committee. Program for 2021 is on hold for now since 2020's program was cancelled due to the pandemic.
 - b. The Board votes reduce Newsletter issues from 5 issues to 4 issues yearly.
 - c. All 7 Board members present voted to approve the proposal.
9. New Business
 - a. SCALL received a request from a Licensing Associate at Cognella, on behalf of a professor at Cal State Fullerton. The Board votes permission to reprint content from *Locating the Law; A Handbook for Non-Law Librarians and How to Read a Legal Citation*.
 - b. All 7 Board members present voted to approve the proposal.
10. Motion to Adjourn
 - a. A motion to adjourn was made by Margaret Hall, and Daisy De Anda seconded. The motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:42 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,
Daisy De Anda
SCALL Secretary, 2020-2022





Newsletter Staff 2020–2021

Erik Y. Adams
Sidley Austin LLP
(213) 896-6170
erik.adams@sidley.com

Sherry L. Leysen
Fowler School of Law at
Chapman University
(714) 628-2546
leysen@chapman.edu

Lisa Schultz
Loyola Law School
(213) 736-1132
lisa.schultz@lls.edu

Editors

Judy K. Davis
USC Law Library
(213) 740-2189
jkdavis@law.usc.edu

Christina Tsou
UCI Law Library
(949) 824-1430
ctsou@law.uci.edu

Jessica Whytock
UCI Law Library
(949) 824-7293
jwhytock@law.uci.edu

Compiler

Jimmy Pak
UCI Law Library
(949) 824-6421
jpak@law.uci.edu

Business Manager

Jimmy Pak
UCI Law Library
(949) 824-6421
jpak@law.uci.edu

Webmaster and Listserv

Suzie Shatarevyan
Loyola Law School Library
(213) 736-1147
suzie.shatarevyan@lls.edu

Committee Chairs 2020–2021

Archives

Christine Langteau
LA Law Library
(213) 785-2542
clangteau@lalawlibrary.org

Awards

Jennifer A. Berman
McDermott Will & Emery LLP
(310) 551-9360
jberman@mwe.com

Budget & Finance

Jessica Whytock
UCI Law Library
(949) 824-7293
jwhytock@law.uci.edu

Bylaws

Amy Atchison
UCI Law Library
(949) 824-3203
aatchison@law.uci.edu

Government Relations

David McFadden
Southwestern Law School
Library
(213) 738-6726
dmcfadden@swlaw.edu

Grants

Matthew Flyntz
UCI Law Library
(949) 824-7087
mflyntz@law.uci.edu

Information Technology - Listserv & Website

Suzie Shatarevyan
Loyola Law School Library
(213) 736-1147
suzie.shatarevyan@lls.edu

Inner City Youth

Diana Jaque, Co-Chair
USC Law Library
(213) 740-6482
djaque@law.usc.edu

Sangeeta Pal, Co-Chair
UCLA Law Library
(310) 794-9671
sangeeta@law.ucla.edu

Institute

Erik Y. Adams
Sidley Austin LLP
(213) 896-6170
erik.adams@sidley.com

Institute Advisory

Jennifer Berman
McDermott Will & Emery LLP
(310) 551-9360
jberman@mwe.com

Library School Liaison

Stephanie Anayah
UCLA Law Library
(310) 206-4860
anayah@law.ucla.edu

Membership

Judy K. Davis, Co-Chair
USC Law Library
(213) 740-2189
jkdavis@law.usc.edu

Karen Skinner, Co-Chair
USC Law Library
(213) 740-2615
ksskinner@law.usc.edu

Newsletter

Judy K. Davis
USC Law Library
(213) 740-2189
jkdavis@law.usc.edu

Christina Tsou
UCI Law Library
(949) 824-1430
ctsou@law.uci.edu

Jessica Whytock
UCI Law Library
(949) 824-7293
jwhytock@law.uci.edu

Nominations

Pauline Aranas
USC Law Library
Phone: (213) 740-7179
paranas@law.usc.edu

Placement

Don Buffalo
Pepperdine University School of
Law Library
(310) 506-4823
donald.buffaloe@pepperdine.edu

Professional Development

Jennifer Berman, Co-Chair
McDermott Will & Emery LLP
(310) 551-9360
jberman@mwe.com

Mark Gediman, Co-Chair
Alston & Bird
(213) 576-2689
mark.gediman@alston.com

Programs

Alina Kelly, Co-Chair
Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP
(310) 312-3779
aak@msk.com

Tanya Livshits, Co-Chair
Holland & Knight
(213) 896-2568
tanya.livshits@hklaw.com

Public Access to Legal Information

Elizabeth Caulfield
Court of Appeal, Second
Appellate District
(213) 830-7242
elizabeth.caulfield@jud.ca.gov

Public Relations

Jimmy Pak, Co-Chair
UCI Law Library
(949) 824-6421
jpak@law.uci.edu

Sofia E. Vazquez-Duran, Co-Chair
Loyola Law School
(213) 736-1419
sofia.vazquez-duran@lls.edu

Relations with Vendors

Lawrence R. Meyer
San Bernardino County Law
Library
(909) 885-4349
larrym@sblawlibrary.org